RIGINAL



MM 99-25

RECEIVED

OFFICE 12 03 PH '99

EX PARTE OR LATE FILED

June 21, 1999

RECEIVED

OCT 12 1999

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION OFFICE OF THE SECRETARY

Chairman William Kennard Federal Communications Commission 445 Twelfth Street, S.W. Washington, DC 20554

In Re: MM Docket No. 99-25

Dear Chairman Kennard:

We are writing regarding the Commission's proposal to create new classes of low power FM stations. We consider this proposal to be the most serious threat to the integrity of the FM radio band in its history.

While there are numerous reasons this plan is ill-conceived from an economic standpoint, the primary reason for our alarm is technical. The laws of physics dictate that, if adopted as presently proposed, this plan would result in serious denigration of the quality of FM radio.

Our primary concern lies with the proposal to do away with the 2nd and 3rd adjacent channel interference protection currently provided. Such action would result in the FM band becoming what the AM band has become. The Commission, itself, acknowledged that the level of interference on AM has contributed to its demise, and to the loss of important service to the public. There are good reasons why 2nd and 3rd channel protections were initiated in the first place, and those reasons remain.

While we applaud Chairman Kennard's desire to provide various minority and community groups their own "voice" on the radio, the instant proposal appears to be based more on politics than physics. It is government based on good intentions rather than good science.

Further, the highest demand for this type of service is in the nation's largest markets. But even with the proposed relaxation of the adjacent-channel protections, very few of these new stations will be possible in America's largest cities. Yet, in small markets across America - those markets where broadcasters are already most vulnerable – 20 or 30 or more new stations could be added, cluttering the airwaves and interfering with the current high-quality service. Please do not "AM-ize" the FM band.

There are two further issues worthy of note.

First, if adopted, this proposal could result in nearly doubling the number of radio stations in the U.S. It has taken the F.C.C. more than 3 years to deal with 450 "pirate broadcasters" which have signed on the air, illegally, during this time. Some of these pirates continue to operate illegally. If it's taken this long to simply turn-off 450 obviously illegal stations, how will the Commission's staff be able to police (if such policing were even possible) an additional 10,000 new low-power stations?

Secondly, America's broadcasters have worked over the past 8 years to develop a new In-Band-On-Channel **digital** radio service. This extremely difficult technical challenge is nearing its successful completion. All of the various systems for delivering digital radio are based on the existing rules for adjacent channel protection. But now...just when this new service is about to be introduced....the Commission is proposing to change the rules, sending this important advancement "back to the drawing board".

In summary, the Commission's proposal will:

	not solve the problem it was intended to resolve. The areas with the greatest demand
	for such stations (our largest cities) will be able to add few, if any, such stations
	result in the denigration of FM radio service across America, such that many citizens
	will suffer from increased interference to their favorite radio stations
	significantly increase regulatory costs at the Commission, increasing either taxes or
	the federal deficit; and
	interfere with, or perhaps even make impossible, the introduction of digital radio in
	the United States.

Unfortunately, if everyone is allowed to be heard on the radio, no one will be able to be heard on the radio. It is exactly this problem which caused the F.C.C. to be created in the first place, back in 1927, so that order could be brought from chaos on the AM band, and so that America could enjoy the finest broadcast service in the world. Please allow that service to continue by REJECTING the proposals in MM Docket No. 99-25.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

J. Shannon Sweatte

Sr. VP, Fisher Radio Seattle & Portland

Robert I Dunlop

VP & General Manager, Fisher Radio Seattle